



COSHOCTON MUNICIPAL COURT
760 Chestnut Street
Coshocton, Ohio 43812
(740) 622-2871
FAX (740) 623-5928



Timothy L. France, Judge

Karen L. Shaw, Clerk

January 25, 2012

Enclosed herewith please find the annual report on the operation of the Coshocton Municipal Court for the calendar year that commenced January 1, 2011, and ended December 31, 2011.

Although we are called a "Municipal" Court, this Court is actually a Municipal Court with county-wide jurisdiction. Villages under the jurisdiction of the Court include West Lafayette, Warsaw, and Conesville.

This Court has now been in existence for fifty-nine years. Judge Timothy L. France is the seventh Judge to preside over the Court. His first term is complete and there have been many changes since January of 2006, when Judge France was sworn in.

Norman S. Davitt serves as Acting Judge in Judge France's absence. The Court's Bailiff is Larry L. Miller; R. Christopher McCabe is Chief Probation Officer and Deputy Bailiff; Christine L. Wilson serves as Deputy Probation Officer.

The Clerk's office, under the direction of Karen L. Shaw, experienced some changes in 2011. In March, Monti S. Shalosky was hired as a Deputy Clerk. Monti currently works part time. She handles all of the status reports and helps the Clerk's staff with whatever need may arise. Other Deputy Clerks include Jane M. Ewing, Denise R. Appis, G. Patrice Croft and Jonni S. Miller. In addition, Marcia J. Turner, Acting Clerk, works on a part time basis.

This Court routinely handles traffic and criminal arraignments, bond hearings and preliminary hearings on felony cases, pre-trials, court trials, jury trials, plea changes, and weekly Intensive Supervised Probation hearings. Other oral hearings scheduled include probation revocation hearings for both supervised and unsupervised probation, temporary protection order hearings and hearings for modifications of temporary protection orders, hearings on summonses for failure to pay fines and costs, status and review hearings as to payment progress, show cause hearings in contempt for failure to complete terms of a suspended sentence, modification of sentence (or of bond) hearings, bond forfeiture hearings, hearings as to immobilized and impounded vehicles, suppression of evidence hearings, small claims hearings, hearings for occupational driving privileges on suspensions, competency hearings, judgment debtor hearings, hearings as to wage garnishments and bank attachments, judgment revivor hearings, expungement hearings, and hearings as to forcible entry and detainer actions (evictions), as well as weddings. A total of forty-five (45) wedding ceremonies were conducted in 2011, an increase of fifteen (15) from the number held in 2010.

Our Intensive Supervised Probation Program (ISP) instituted in 2006, consists

of defendants who usually have multiple alcohol and drug related offenses, and who then are released early from incarceration, with their jail sentences suspended, on the condition that they comply with the various terms of the program. These terms vary by case but can include house arrest, community service, alcohol and drug treatment, education, employment, payment of fines and costs, and obtaining a valid operator's license. The use of monitoring techniques, as outlined in the following paragraph, may also be required. The participants are, initially, to report weekly, directly to Judge France, to discuss their compliance, or lack thereof, as well as their successes and failures. The program is structured toward each individual's needs and a completion date is usually within twelve to eighteen months of their start date. It should be noted that the I.S.P. program initially (in 2006) included representatives from Coshocton Behavioral Health Choices as active participants, on a weekly basis, to help those with drug and alcohol issues. Since the start up, Coshocton County Job and Family Services agreed to send a representative to assist defendants with obtaining their G.E.D., job searches, and education funding availability, as well as other resources that are available to them. In 2007, Six County, Inc. also became a participant in the program by sending a representative each week to assist those with mental health issues.

There were 17 defendants remaining in the "ISP" program at the end of 2010, and 21 defendants opted to commence the program in 2011. Of these 38 defendants, we had 13 successful graduates, 8 defendants who were terminated for non-compliance, and therefore

their original jail sentences were reinstated. At year end a total of 17 defendants were still active participants in the program. A bench warrant was issued on one of these defendants for failure to comply. Said warrant is still outstanding.

Two (2) other related programs instituted by Judge France in 2006--- the GPS Program and the Ignition Interlock Program were successfully continued in 2011. The GPS (Global Positioning System) program allows the probation office to monitor a defendant's whereabouts by computer thus affording the defendants more freedom than a jail sentence and allowing them to retain employment.

The Ignition Interlock Program allows the defendant the opportunity to have driving privileges but requires a breathalyzer test prior to allowing the defendant to start his or her vehicle. These programs are currently in use with some of the defendants in the Intensive Supervised Probation program.

A total of eight (8) defendants utilized the Ignition Interlock program in 2011.

By utilizing these programs, along with the electronically monitored House Arrest program, this Court is both helping defendants, by allowing them to retain employment, and is also effectively helping to reduce the on-going jail overcrowding situation. The Judge also discusses offenses with defendants prior to sentencing in an attempt to make their sentence "fit their crime" and also in an attempt to determine each defendant's ability to successful comply

with their sentence. A total of fourteen (14) defendants were participants of electronically monitored house arrest in 2011. Of those fourteen (14) defendants, twelve (12) had an alcohol monitoring device. The electronic monitored house arrest, as last year, is contracted to a private company to save time and money. This allows the contractor to monitor compliance rather than a probation officer. It also saves the cost of replacing the house arrest equipment which was starting to be irreparable due to its age.

The status hearing program instituted in 2006 still continues to be a success. This program is geared toward defendants who have been non-compliant on fine payments or other obligations and who require more intensive guidance or motivation. Defendants are given a status date by which they are to complete certain criteria. If they are unable to comply, they are to report on the status date for further review of their case file and to advise Judge France directly, of their problems as to non-compliance. This program has reduced the work load on the probation staff which allows the probation department to devote more time to those that need their personal attention and direction.

Our "unsupervised" probation program is another successful program that was instituted by Judge France in 2006. By sentencing defendants to "unsupervised" probation and by working with defendants currently on probation to help them complete probation requirements, the number of defendants on active probation at the close of 2011, was 227.

In calendar year 2011, 140 defendants signed up for the Community Service Program,

and completed over 2,800 hours of community service work. Community Service work is assigned at sentencing as an additional term of a defendant's probation, or in lieu of serving jail time. Defendants may also sign up to complete community service in lieu of payment of their fines at a credit rate of \$8.00 per hour. 143 hours were as an additional term of probation; 1,114 hours were in lieu of serving 818 days in jail; and, 1,632 hours were in lieu of payments of \$13,056.00 in outstanding fines and costs. Please note that this amount is a credit amount only, and therefore is not included in the cash payments collected and referenced on page two of this report. Judge France, himself, completed 139 hours of community service, often working side by side with the defendants.

Due to a decrease in the number of entities willing to supervise community service workers and to help provide alternative times for those needing to complete community service, the Court used special project funds to retain Thomas D. Wiggins as an independent contractor to supervise community service workers. His focus was to enhance and maintain the flower beds at City Hall, at the County Court House, along Main Street, at the track at Coshocton High School and at Sacred Heart Church. When community service workers opt to perform community service with Mr. Wiggins in lieu of their fines, their credit rate would be \$12.00 per hour. Under the direction of Tom Wiggins, defendants completed 1,812 hours in lieu of payments of their fines and 232 hours were completed in lieu of contempt.

The majority of domestic violence offenders are offered the opportunity to complete a counseling program. This program allows defendants who are first time domestic violence

offenders and who meet certain criteria, to complete counseling after which the charges against them will be dismissed. The counseling program normally lasts from eight (8) to eighteen (18) months. Note that domestic violence charges filed in 2011 totaled 83, which is a decrease of 5 from last year.

Eighteen (18) temporary protection orders were issued in 2011.

A breakdown of specific charges filed in 2011 and a ledger of yearly receipts and disbursements is included in this report. There were 826 criminal misdemeanors filed in 2011, which is an increase from 2010 of fifty-six (56) counts. Total felony charges filed in 2011 were thirty-three (33), which is a decrease of eighteen (18) counts from 2010.

Theft topped the list in 2011 of the most criminal offenses filed. A total of eighty-eight (88) theft charges were filed. Eighty-four (84) misdemeanor counts and four (4) felony counts. Domestic Violence followed with seventy-six (76) misdemeanor counts and seven (7) felony counts, for a total of eighty-three (83) counts; these were followed by fifty-eight (58) assault charges, fifty-six (56) misdemeanor counts and two (2) felony counts; thirty-two (32) possession of controlled substance charges; thirty-one (31) criminal trespass charges, and twenty-nine (29) underage consumption charges.

Total traffic citations filed for 2011 were 2,373, which is an increase from 2010 by 164 counts. In the traffic division, the top four (4) offenses were: excessive speed at 1,070; failure to

wear a safety belt at 324 counts; failure to control with 125 counts; driving while under license suspension with 123 counts. Driving while under the influence of alcohol (OVI) took spot number five (5) with 118 counts. And, number six (6) was running a stop sign with 114 counts.

Activity in the civil division has decreased again with case filings in 2011 at 856. This is a decrease from 2010 of 37 cases. Civil lawsuits filed include requests for money judgments on delinquent accounts, unpaid promissory or cognovit notes, damages, back rent and other miscellaneous causes (mainly in the small claim division), as well as replevin and forcible entry and detainer actions (evictions). Eviction actions, which have decreased from 2010 by 11 cases, accounted for 92 of the 856 cases filed. 316 of the 856 cases were small claims cases (a decrease from 2010 of a total of 133 cases).

Other civil proceedings include certification and collection of judgments. This requires handling numerous motions for judgment debtor examinations, contempts, bench warrants, wage garnishments and bank attachments. The Court's jurisdictional limitations are \$15,000.00 for civil cases and \$3,000.00 for small claim cases.

Although jurors were summoned on several occasions, only One (1) trial actually proceeded to jury in 2011, a criminal case. The defendant was found "not guilty" by the jury.

Four (4) defendants appealed the decision of this Court by filing with the Fifth District Court of Appeals in 2011. Three (3) of those cases remained under appeal at the

close of the year for decision by the Fifth District Appellate Court in 2012. One (1) case was dismissed by the Defendant/Appellant. Another case under appeal from 2010 was dismissed by the Fifth District Court of Appeals in January of 2011 and then re-filed by the defendant/appellant in February 2011. The Fifth District affirmed the decision of this Court as to that case in August, of 2011.

Coshocton Municipal Court continues to be a leader in Court technology. We offer complete case records to the public via the internet, and are also one of the pioneering courts to accept payment of fines and costs by credit card via the internet. In 2011, payments of \$84,661.50 were collected by credit card. Please see our website at www.coshoctonmunicipalcourt.com.

Over one (1) million in outstanding fines and costs are still owed by defendants. Due to the overwhelming amount owed, Capital Recovery Systems, Inc., a collection agency, continues to try and collect outstanding fines and costs owed by defendants. Those cases are sent to collection only after every effort has been made on the part of the Court to collect the outstanding balances.

We also continue to utilize the digital court recording system in the courtroom, purchased in calendar year 2006, which we had programmed to access information from the clerk's office affording the Judge instant access, in the courtroom, to a defendant's court record, including payment and compliance requirements, case history and/or notification of other possible pending cases or warrants, and access to the BMV website to check drivers license

issues, including suspensions and reinstatement requirements. And, the Court continues to send data via the internet to the Bureau of Motor Vehicles, and periodically to the Bureau of Criminal Identification and requesting credit bureaus.

The Court is also now connected to a statewide justice information exchange system, Ohio Courts Network (OCN). This Court now has the capability to cast a wider net in searching backgrounds of those appearing in Court. The OCN was developed by the Supreme Court of Ohio and serves as a centralized warehouse of case-related data, enabling courts and justice system partners to share information and to support functions such as criminal history reviews, warrant and protection order searches, pre-sentencing investigations, background checks, and pre-custody reviews.

Monies from the Judge's special project and computer funds were utilized for the following purchases: along with the video arraignment system implemented in the year 2009 and in an effort to "streamline" efficiency, additional technology was implemented in 2011. The Courtroom now has a new digital audio system. And, the Court is in the process of adding new video equipment for the purpose of surveillance to the entire Court area.

New scanners were purchased for Court personnel. With the assistance from Henschen and Associates, our Court provider, the new scanners were implemented and are currently being used by Court personnel. Mergepro paper on demand was another feature implemented in December of 2011. These are two big steps towards the Court's goal in becoming totally

paperless. The goal is still to have all filings visible on the internet and to be completely paperless by the end of 2012.

Respectfully Submitted:

s/ Karen L. Shaw, Clerk of Court

s/Timothy L. France, Municipal Judge

***IN THE COSHOCTON MUNICIPAL COURT
COSHOCTON COUNTY, OHIO***

CASE LOAD BREAKDOWN BY DIVISION

January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011

<i>TRAFFIC DIVISION</i>	<i>2,373</i>
<i>CRIMINAL DIVISION</i>	<i>859</i>
<i>PARKING DIVISION</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>CIVIL DIVISION</i>	<i>856</i>
<i>LANDLORD/TENANT DIVISION</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>TRUSTEESHIP</i>	<i>0</i>

<i>TOTAL 2011 CASE LOAD</i>	<i>4,097</i>

**IN THE COSHOCTON MUNICIPAL COURT
COSHOCTON COUNTY, OHIO**

ANALYSIS OF CHARGES FILED BY OFFENSE

January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011

CRIMINAL MISDEMEANOR VIOLATIONS:

<i>THEFT</i>	<i>84</i>
<i>DOMESTIC VIOLENCE</i>	<i>76</i>
<i>ASSAULT</i>	<i>56</i>
<i>POSSESSION CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE</i>	<i>32</i>
<i>CRIMINAL TRESPASS</i>	<i>31</i>
<i>UNDERAGE DRINKING</i>	<i>29</i>
<i>DISORDERLY CONDUCT</i>	<i>28</i>
<i>AGGRAVATED DISORDERLY CONDUCT</i>	<i>27</i>
<i>FAIL PAY INCOME TAXES</i>	<i>25</i>
<i>AGGRAVATED MENACING</i>	<i>24</i>
<i>UNLICENSED DOG</i>	<i>21</i>
<i>CRIMINAL DAMAGING</i>	<i>15</i>
<i>FAIL TO REMOVE NUISANCE</i>	<i>15</i>
<i>LITTERING</i>	<i>15</i>
<i>UNAUTHORIZED USE OF A MOTOR VEHICLE</i>	<i>14</i>
<i>DRUG PARAPHERNALIA</i>	<i>14</i>
<i>FAILURE TO APPEAR PR BOND</i>	<i>14</i>
<i>VIOLATION OF A PROTECTION ORDER</i>	<i>14</i>

<i>DANGEROUS DOG NO INSURANCE</i>	<i>14</i>
<i>MENACING</i>	<i>14</i>
<i>OBSTRUCTION OF OFFICIAL BUSINESS</i>	<i>13</i>
<i>DOG AT LARGE</i>	<i>13</i>
<i>DISORDERLY CONDUCT BY PUBLIC INTOXICATION</i>	<i>13</i>
<i>RESIST ARREST</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>CHILD ENDANGERMENT</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>CONTRIBUTE TO UNRULY</i>	<i>11</i>
<i>BAD CHECK</i>	<i>11</i>
<i>TELEPHONE HARRASSMENT</i>	<i>11</i>
<i>OPEN CONTAINER</i>	<i>10</i>
<i>DANGEROUS DOG AT LARGE</i>	<i>10</i>
<i>RECEIVE STOLEN PROPERTY</i>	<i>09</i>
<i>PUBLIC INTOXICATION</i>	<i>09</i>
<i>THEFT BY DECEPTION</i>	<i>07</i>
<i>BUY/FURNISH TO A MINOR</i>	<i>07</i>
<i>CHILD ENDANGERMENT/VEHICLE</i>	<i>06</i>
<i>OPERATE ATV UNDESIGNATED AREA</i>	<i>06</i>
<i>FALSIFICATION</i>	<i>05</i>
<i>INTERFERE WITH CUSTODY</i>	<i>05</i>
<i>AGGR.DISORDERLY CONDUCT BY PUBLIC INTOXICATION</i>	<i>04</i>
<i>NO FISHING LICENSE</i>	<i>04</i>
<i>NO SPECIAL PERMIT</i>	<i>04</i>

<i>INDECENT EXPOSURE</i>	<i>04</i>
<i>ACCUMULATE RUBBISH</i>	<i>04</i>
<i>SHOOT UNDESIGNATED AREA</i>	<i>04</i>
<i>MOTOR VEHICLE UNDESIGNATED AREA</i>	<i>03</i>
<i>FAIL TO PLUG GUN</i>	<i>03</i>
<i>ESCAPE</i>	<i>03</i>
<i>SOLICIT-NO PERMIT</i>	<i>03</i>
<i>HUNT WITHOUT LICENSE</i>	<i>02</i>
<i>TELECOMM HARRASSMENT</i>	<i>02</i>
<i>CRIMINAL MISCHIEF</i>	<i>02</i>
<i>INTIMIDATION OF A VICTIM/WITNESS</i>	<i>02</i>
<i>CONCEALED WEAPON</i>	<i>02</i>
<i>ANIMAL AT LARGE</i>	<i>02</i>
<i>CRIMINAL ATTEMPT</i>	<i>02</i>
<i>CONSUME ALCOHOL IN A MOTOR VEHICLE</i>	<i>02</i>
<i>ALLOW UNDERAGE CONSUMPTION</i>	<i>02</i>
<i>PUBLIC INDECENCY</i>	<i>02</i>
<i>SELL WITHOUT CLEAR TITLE</i>	<i>02</i>
<i>FAIL TO REGISTER ATV</i>	<i>02</i>
<i>CONTRIBUTE TO UNRULY</i>	<i>02</i>
<i>SPOTLIGHTING</i>	<i>02</i>
<i>OPEN BURNING</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>VEHICULAR MANSLAUGHTER</i>	<i>01</i>

<i>HARVEST GINSENG</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>BARKING DOGS</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>HUNT LAND WITHOUT PERMIT</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>MISUSE OF 911</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>FIREARM W/UNDER INFLUENCE</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>HUNT FROM VEHICLE</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>DEFRAUD LIVERY</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>NO HUNTER ORANGE</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>ILLEGAL DUMPING</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>FAILURE TO YIELD-STOP SIGN</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>AGGRAVATED TRESPASSING</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>DANGEROUS DRUG INSTRUMENT</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>TRANSPORT LOADED WEAPON</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>HIT SKIP/LEAVE THE SCENE</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>TRASH OUT 24 HOURS BEFORE PICK-UP</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>AID OR ABET</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>DRIVING UNDER SUSPENSION</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>WRONGFUL ENTRUSTMENT</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>DISREGARD SAFETY</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>FLEE/ELUDE</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>CREDIT CARD MISUSE</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>INDUCE PANIC</i>	<i>01</i>

<i>POSSESSION OF HARMFUL INTOXICANT</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>REMOVAL OF SIGNS</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>REFUSING TO SIGN</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>ILLEGAL CULTIVATION OF MARIJUANA</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>DISOBEY AN OFFICER</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>TOTAL CRIMINAL MISDEMEANORS:</i>	<i>826</i>
<u><i>PARKING VIOLATIONS:</i></u>	<i>06</i>

TRAFFIC MISDEMEANOR VIOLATIONS (2011)

<i>EXCESSIVE SPEED</i>	1070
<i>FAILURE TO WEAR A SAFETY BELT</i>	324
<i>FAILURE TO CONTROL</i>	125
<i>DRIVE WHILE UNDER LICENSE SUSPENSION</i>	123
<i>DRIVE WHILE UNDER INFLUENCE OF ALCOHOL (OVI)</i>	118
<i>RAN STOP SIGN</i>	114
<i>REGISTRATION VIOLATION</i>	64
<i>OVI (HIGH TEST CITATION -.17 OR HIGHER)</i>	47
<i>ASSURED CLEAR DISTANCE</i>	40
<i>OVI (LOW TEST CITATION -.08 TO .16)</i>	37
<i>TRAFFIC CONTROL LIGHT</i>	36
<i>NO OPERATOR'S LICENSE</i>	33
<i>FAILURE TO YIELD RIGHT OF WAY</i>	27
<i>FAILURE TO REINSTATE LICENSE</i>	20
<i>IMPROPER LANE CHANGE</i>	19
<i>OVI TEST REFUSAL</i>	18
<i>HIT SKIP/LEAVE THE SCENE</i>	18
<i>LOCATION/RIGHT HALF OF ROADWAY</i>	14
<i>NO CHILD RESTRAINT</i>	11
<i>IMPROPER BACKING</i>	10
<i>LEFT OF CENTER</i>	10
<i>NO HEADLIGHTS</i>	09
<i>UNSAFE VEHICLE</i>	07

<i>OVERLOAD</i>	06
<i>IMPROPER PASSING</i>	05
<i>TURN SIGNAL VIOLATION</i>	05
<i>DISREGARD SAFETY</i>	05
<i>NO TAIL LIGHTS</i>	04
<i>ONE HEADLIGHT</i>	04
<i>FAIL TO STOP FOR SCHOOL BUS</i>	04
<i>FICTITIOUS REGISTRATION</i>	03
<i>SQUEAL TIRES</i>	03
<i>WRONGFUL ENTRUSTMENT</i>	03
<i>FLEE OR ELUDE</i>	03
<i>HIT SKIP/PRIVATE PROPERTY</i>	03
<i>NO MOTORCYCLE ENDORSEMENT</i>	02
<i>TINTED WINDOWS</i>	02
<i>OVUAC</i>	02
<i>SLOW SPEED</i>	02
<i>NO PLATE LIGHTS</i>	02
<i>IN PHYSICAL CONTROL</i>	02
<i>IMPROPER PARKING</i>	01
<i>U-TURN</i>	01
<i>OBSTRUCT INTERSECTION</i>	01
<i>NO BRAKE LIGHTS</i>	01
<i>IMPROPER STARTING</i>	01

<i>PROHIBIT TURN</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>FAIL TO DIM LIGHTS</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>RIGHT ON RED</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>REVVING MOTOR</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>FAILURE TO YIELD FOR A PEDESTRIAN</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>LOUD EXHAUST</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>CROSSING GUARD</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>FAILURE TO YIELD-PRIVATE DRIVE</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>INSECURE LOAD</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>ONE WAY TRAFFIC</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>VIOLATION WHILE BEING PASSED</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>LITTER FROM VEHICLE</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>FAILURE TO YIELD FOR BLIND PEDESTRIAN</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>OVI/DRUG</i>	<i>01</i>

<i>TOTAL TRAFFIC MISDEMEANORS</i>	<i>2,373</i>
--	---------------------

**IN THE COSHOCTON MUNICIPAL COURT
COSHOCTON COUNTY, OHIO**

ANALYSIS OF CHARGES FILED (BY OFFENSE)

January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011

FELONIES:

<i>DOMESTIC VIOLENCE</i>	<i>07</i>
<i>THEFT</i>	<i>04</i>
<i>AGGRAVATED ROBBERY</i>	<i>03</i>
<i>BURGLARY</i>	<i>02</i>
<i>FELONIOUS ASSAULT</i>	<i>02</i>
<i>AGGRAVATED BURGLARY</i>	<i>02</i>
<i>ASSAULT</i>	<i>02</i>
<i>BREAKING & ENTERING</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>GROSS SEXUAL IMPOSITION</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>IDENTITY FRAUD</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>THEFT/FIREARM</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>CONCEALED WEAPON/SCHOOL ZONE</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>FELONY OVI</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>TAMPERING WITH EVIDENCE</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>RECEIVE STOLEN PROPERTY</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>SEXUAL CONDUCT WITH A MINOR</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>FAIL TO REGISTER AS A SEX OFFENDER</i>	<i>01</i>
<i>FAIL TO PROVIDE ADDRESS (SEX OFFENDER)</i>	<i>01</i>

Clerk's note: Felonies are filed in Municipal Court for the purpose of conducting a bond hearing. Preliminary Hearings are then scheduled, which proceed UNLESS the defendant waives the hearing, or, UNLESS the defendant is indicted by Grand Jury prior to the Preliminary Hearing. If the case proceeds to the preliminary hearing, the Judge can either dismiss the case or find probable cause that the defendant committed the offense charged and bind the case over to Common Pleas Court for further proceedings. If Grand Jury meets prior to the preliminary, the Municipal Court case is dismissed and the case proceeds in Common Pleas Court according to the Grand Jury indictment. If the defendant waives the preliminary hearing, the case is automatically bound over to Common Pleas Court.

**IN THE COSHOCTON MUNICIPAL COURT
COSHOCTON COUNTY, OHIO**

ANALYSIS OF CHARGES FILED BY AGENCY (TYPE)

January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011

	<u>TRAFFIC</u>	<u>CRIMINAL</u>
<i>Coshocton Sheriff's Department</i>	764	547
<i>Ohio State Highway Patrol</i>	1,490	7
<i>West Lafayette Police Department</i>	119	75
<i>Citizen (Non Officer)</i>	0	108
<i>Ohio Department of Natural Resources</i>	0	37
<i>Liquor control agents</i>	0	11
<i>Dog Warden</i>	0	57
<i>Municipal Court officers</i>	0	17
<i>Ohio Department of Agriculture</i>	0	0
<i>Ohio Department of Transportation</i>	0	0
	———	———
TOTALS:	2,373	859

IN THE COSHOCTON MUNICIPAL COURT

COSHOCTON COUNTY, OHIO

TRAFFIC AND CRIMINAL DIVISIONS

January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011

	<u>RECEIPTS</u>	<u>DISBURSALS</u>	<u>BALANCE</u>
<i>BALANCE ON HAND 01/01/11:</i>			<i>3,124.00</i>
<u>RECEIPTS, 2011:</u>			
<i>Local Costs</i>	<i>80,646.12</i>		
<i>Special Project Fund</i>	<i>23,972.50</i>		
<i>Computer Improvement Fund</i>	<i>21,609.05</i>		
<i>Victim of Crime (State Fee)</i>	<i>19,727.50</i>		
<i>General Revenue (State Fee)</i>	<i>1,247.40</i>		
<i>Fines & Forfeitures</i>	<i>175,765.63</i>		
<i>OVI Fines to Special accounts</i>	<i>22,029.50</i>		
<i>Parking Fines</i>	<i>170.00</i>		
<i>House Arrest Costs</i>	<i>678.60</i>		
<i>Witness/Juror</i>	<i>2,595.80</i>		
<i>Sheriff Fees (Coshocton County)</i>	<i>8,609.57</i>		
<i>Sheriff Fees (Misc. Counties)</i>	<i>861.13</i>		
<i>License Forfeiture Reinst. Fees</i>	<i>0.00</i>		
<i>Overpayments/Refunds</i>	<i>79.50</i>		
<i>Expungement Fees</i>	<i>1,100.00</i>		
<i>Probation Fund</i>	<i>7,181.50</i>		
<i>Public Defender Fees</i>	<i>4,502.12</i>		
<i>Indigent Costs (new law)</i>	<i>60,048.85</i>		
<i>Restitution</i>	<i>400.10</i>		
<i>Collections for other courts</i>	<i>0.00</i>		
<i>Capital Recovery Collection</i>	<i>6,690.22</i>		
<i>Bonds</i>	<i>11,489.50</i>		
<i>TOTAL RECEIPTS:</i>	<i>449,404.59</i>		
<i>TOTAL RECEIPTS AND BALANCE:</i>			<i>452,528.59</i>

TRAFFIC AND CRIMINAL DIVISIONS (CONTINUED)

January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011

	<u>RECEIPTS</u>	<u>DISBURSALS</u>	<u>BALANCE</u>
<u>DISBURSEMENTS 2011:</u>			
COSHOCTON CITY TREASURER:			
<i>Fines and Forfeitures</i>		858.97	
<i>All case costs</i>		80,501.12	
<i>Special Project Fund</i>		23,972.50	
<i>Computer Improvement Fund</i>		18,307.82	
<i>Indigent Fund</i>		8,829.00	
<i>House Arrest (City general fund)</i>		678.60	
<i>Parking Fines</i>		160.00	
<i>Ohio State Highway Patrol Fines (40%)</i>		24,092.40	
<i>Probation Fund</i>		7,181.50	
<i>Witness/Juror Fees</i>		0.00	
<i>Unclaimed Funds</i>		61.00	
COSHOCTON COUNTY TREASURER:			
<i>Traffic Fines and Forfeitures</i>		58,072.95	
<i>Criminal Fines and Forfeitures</i>		29,724.99	
<i>Ohio State Highway Patrol Fines (10%)</i>		6,019.10	
<i>Liquor Control Fines (50%)</i>		1,973.25	
<i>Dog and Kennel Fines</i>		3,079.22	
<i>Witness/Juror Fees</i>		2,595.80	
<i>Expungement Fees (40%)</i>		460.00	
<i>OVI Education & Enforcement (Sheriff)</i>		2,224.25	
<i>OVI Housing (Sheriff)</i>		6,498.92	
<i>Drug Education & Enforcement (Sheriff)</i>		1,460.00	
<i>Public Defender Fee</i>		4,502.12	
COSHOCTON COUNTY LAW LIBRARY		4,000.00	
TREASURER, VILLAGE WEST LAFAYETTE			
<i>Fines and Forfeitures</i>		3,074.00	
<i>OVI Education & Enforcement (WLPD)</i>		216.00	
<i>Drug Education & Enforcement (WLPD)</i>		400.00	
<i>Parking</i>		10.00	
TREASURER, VILLAGE WARSAW			
<i>Fines and forfeitures</i>		0.00	

TRAFFIC AND CRIMINAL DIVISIONS (CONTINUED)

January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011

	<u>RECEIPTS</u>	<u>DISBURSALS</u>	<u>BALANCE</u>
<u>DISBURSEMENTS 2011:</u>			
<i>COSHOCTON COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPT</i>			
<i>Service and Jail fees</i>		8,609.57	
<i>TREASURER, STATE OF OHIO:</i>			
<i>Rotary Reparations Fund (Victims)</i>		19,727.50	
<i>State General Revenue Fund</i>		1,247.40	
<i>Ohio State Highway Patrol Fines (50%)</i>		30,079.50	
<i>Seat Belt Fines</i>		8,460.00	
<i>Child Restraint Fines</i>		285.00	
<i>Liquor Control Fines (50%)</i>		1,973.25	
<i>Drug Fines (Dept. of Pharmacy)</i>		803.00	
<i>Dept of Natural Resources (ODNR)</i>		1,535.00	
<i>Expungements (60%)</i>		660.00	
<i>License Forfeiture Reinst Fees (BMV)</i>		0.00	
<i>OVI Education & Enforcement (OSHP)</i>		261.50	
<i>Drug Education & Enforcement Cost(OSHP)</i>		-0-	
<i>Drug Law Enforcement Fund</i>		6,096.20	
<i>OVI Indigent Driver Defense Fine</i>		57,774.18	
<i>Justice Program Service Fund</i>		178.30	
 <i>MISC JURISDICTIONS (Their Warrants)</i>		 861.13	
 <i>OVERPAYMENTS AND REFUNDS</i>		 63.50	
 <i>INTERNET COLLECTION BANK CARD FEES</i>			
<i>Paymentech/Verisign</i>		3,082.23	
<i>Paypal</i>		219.00	
 <i>RESTITUTION</i>		 400.10	
 <i>BOND RETURNS</i>		 11,483.50	
 <i>CAPITAL RECOVERY-COLLECTIONS</i>		 <u>6,690.22</u>	
 <i>TOTAL DISBURSEMENTS</i>		 449,443.59	
 <i>BALANCE ON HAND 12/31/11:</i>			 3,085.00

**IN THE COSHOCTON MUNICIPAL COURT
COSHOCTON COUNTY, OHIO
CIVIL DIVISION --- SMALL CLAIMS INCLUDED**

January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011

RECEIPTS DISBURSALS BALANCE

BALANCE ON HAND 01/01/11 **1,000.00**

RECEIPTS, 2011

<i>All case costs</i>	40,678.95
<i>Special Projects Funds</i>	6,881.50
<i>Computer Fund</i>	6,265.00
<i>Legal Aid</i>	15,836.00
<i>Judgment payments</i>	305,083.57
<i>Contempt fines</i>	200.00
<i>Misc Deposits</i>	0.00
<i>Overpayments/Refunds</i>	1,618.12
<i>Sheriff Fees</i>	197.79
<i>Landlord Tenant Escrow</i>	2,152.00
<i>Trusteeship</i>	0.00
<i>Witness/Jury Fees</i>	357.00
<i>Wedding Fees</i>	860.00
<i>Public Defender Fee</i>	25.00
TOTAL RECEIPTS	380,154.93

TOTAL RECEIPTS AND BALANCE: 381,154.93

DISBURSEMENTS, 2011

City Treasurer:	
<i>Costs</i>	40,494.95
<i>Special Project Fund</i>	6,881.50
<i>Computer Fund</i>	6,265.00
<i>Contempt Fines</i>	200.00
<i>Wedding Fees</i>	860.00
<i>Witness/Jury Fees</i>	357.00
<i>Treasurer, State of Ohio (Legal Aid)</i>	15,836.00
<i>Misc. Attorneys & Plaintiffs on Jmts</i>	305,083.57
<i>Coshocton Sheriff's Department</i>	55.96
<i>Trusteeship</i>	0.00
<i>Sheriff fees from other counties</i>	141.83

CIVIL DIVISION – SMALL CLAIMS INCLUDED(CONTINUED)

January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011

	<u>RECEIPTS</u>	<u>DISBURSALS</u>	<u>BALANCE</u>
<i>Landlord/Tenant (Rental Payments)</i>		<i>2,152.00</i>	
<i>Overpayments/Refunds</i>		<i>1,618.12</i>	
<i>Deposits Returned or transferred</i>		<i>500.00</i>	
<i>Public Defender Fee</i>		<i>25.00</i>	
<i>Bank Fees</i>		<i>184.00</i>	
<i>TOTAL DISBURSALS:</i>		<i>380,654.93</i>	
<i>BALANCE ON HAND 12/31/11:</i>			<i>500.00</i>

**IN THE COSHOCTON MUNICIPAL COURT
COSHOCTON COUNTY, OHIO**

SPECIAL PROJECT FUND

January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011

	RECEIPTS	DISBURSALS	BALANCE
BALANCE ON HAND 01/01/11			63,112.46

RECEIPTS, 2011:

TRAFFIC/CRIMINAL DIV.	23,972.50
CIVIL DIVISION	6,881.50
REFUND- SEMINAR	<u>200.00</u>
TOTAL RECEIPTS 2011	31,054.00

TOTAL RECEIPTS & BALANCE	94,166.46
-------------------------------------	------------------

DISBURSEMENTS, 2011:

EDUCATION, i.e., Tuition, Registration, Travel for Judicial College, Judicial & Clerk Conferences, Law Seminars & Supreme Ct. Meetings	3,687.72
---	-----------------

HARDWARE-New Scanners	29,864.27
------------------------------	------------------

NETWORKING PROJECT (Courtroom)	2,680.61
---------------------------------------	-----------------

CITY HALL FLOWER BEDS	399.00
------------------------------	---------------

INTERNET	478.80
-----------------	---------------

VIDEO ARRAIGNMENTS/monthly bill	224.00
--	---------------

COMMUNITY SERVICE PROJECTS	<u>9,790.97</u>
-----------------------------------	------------------------

TOTAL DISBURSEMENTS 2011:	47,125.37
----------------------------------	------------------

BALANCE ON HAND 12/31/11:	47,041.09
----------------------------------	------------------

**IN THE COSHOCTON MUNICIPAL COURT
COSHOCTON COUNTY, OHIO**

COMPUTERIZATION FUND

January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011

	RECEIPTS	DISBURSALS	BALANCE
BALANCE ON HAND 01/01/11			23,240.23
<u>RECEIPTS, 2011:</u>			
Traffic/Criminal Division	21,609.05		
Civil Division	<u>6,265.00</u>		
TOTAL RECEIPTS	27,874.05		
TOTAL RECEIPTS & BALANCE			51,114.28
<u>DISBURSEMENTS, 2011:</u>			
Computer Supplies:	504.02		
Upgrades, Expansions, Repairs:	20,832.78		
Internet payment fees for collection	<u>3,301.23</u>		
TOTAL DISBURSEMENTS:	24,638.03		
BALANCE ON HAND 12/31/11:			26,476.25

**IN THE COSHOCTON MUNICIPAL COURT
COSHOCTON COUNTY, OHIO**

INDIGENT ALCOHOL FUND

January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011

	<i>RECEIPTS</i>	<i>DISBURSALS</i>	<i>BALANCE</i>
BALANCE ON HAND 01/01/11			21,258.10
<u>RECEIPTS, 2011</u>			
<i>Traffic Criminal Division:</i>			
<i>Treatment costs (OVI)</i>	<i>2,692.00</i>		
<i>Ignition interlock fines (OVI)</i>	<i>3,668.00</i>		
<i>Indigent alcohol</i>	<i>2,469.00</i>		
<i>Reimb. from state-interlock</i>	<i>4,755.32</i>		
<i>State of Ohio Transfer Fund</i>	<i><u>4,518.81</u></i>		
TOTAL RECEIPTS 2011	18,103.13		
TOTAL RECEIPTS & BALANCE			39,361.23
 DISBURSEMENTS, 2011:			
<i>Coshocton Behavioral Health Choices</i>		<i>8315.63</i>	
<i>Northern Ohio Interlock</i>		<i><u>1743.00</u></i>	
TOTAL DISBURSEMENTS 2011		10,058.63	
 BALANCE ON HAND 12/31/11:			29,302.60

*20,994.47 of the balance on hand is "earmarked" for Indigent Interlock.
8,308.13 of the balance on hand is "earmarked" for Indigent Treatment*

**IN THE COSHOCTON MUNICIPAL COURT
COSHOCTON COUNTY, OHIO**

PROBATION(COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS) FUND

January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011

RECEIPTS DISBURSALS BALANCE

BALANCE ON HAND 01/01/11 **14,578.20**
(Fund established 02/15/2004)

RECEIPTS, 2011: **7,181.50**

TOTAL RECEIPTS & BALANCE **21,759.70**

DISBURSEMENTS, 2011:

Drug and alcohol testing **1,481.60**
Misc office **1,328.55**
ISP graduation expenses **1,507.09**
Training fees, dues, seminars expense **434.88**

TOTAL DISBURSEMENTS 2011: **4,752.12**

BALANCE ON HAND 12/31/11: **17,007.58**

**IN THE COSHOCTON MUNICIPAL COURT
COSHOCTON COUNTY, OHIO**

LANDLORD/TENANT RENTAL ESCROW FUND

January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011

	<u>RECEIPTS</u>	<u>DISBURSALS</u>	<u>BALANCE</u>
BALANCE ON HAND 01/01/11:			0.00
<u>RECEIPTS, 2011:</u>			
<i>McCormick v. Bachman</i>	1,050.00		
<i>Lawrence v. MIP</i>	702.00		
<i>Hurst/Taylor v. Maculatis</i>	400.00		
TOTAL RECEIPTS & BALANCE			2,152.00
<u>DISBURSEMENTS, 2011:</u>			
<i>McCormick v. Bachman</i>		1,050.00	
<i>Lawrence v. MIP</i>		702.00	
<i>Hurst/Taylor v. Maculatis</i>		400.00	
TOTAL DISBURSEMENTS:		2,152.00	
BALANCE ON HAND 12/31/11:			.00

**IN THE COSHOCTON MUNICIPAL COURT
COSHOCTON COUNTY, OHIO**

TRUSTEESHIP FUND

RECEIPTS DISBURSALS BALANCE

BALANCE ON HAND 01/01/11 **0.00**

RECEIPTS, 2011: **0.00**

TOTAL RECEIPTS AND BALANCE **0.00**

DISBURSEMENTS, 2011: **0.00**

TOTAL DISBURSALS **0.00**

BALANCE ON HAND 12/31/11: **0.00**